1			
	Kenneth A. Gallo (pro hac vice)		
2	Joseph J. Simons (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Craig A. Benson (<i>pro hac vice</i>)		
3	PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP		
	2001 K Street, NW		
4	Washington, DC 20006-1047 Telephone: (202) 223-7300		
5	Facsimile: (202) 223-7420		
	Email: kgallo@paulweiss.com		
6	Email: jsimons@paulweiss.com		
7	Email: cbenson@paulweiss.com		
	Stephen E. Taylor (SBN 058452)		
8	Jonathan A. Patchen (SBN 237346) TAYLOR & COMPANY LAW OFFICES, LLP		
9	One Ferry Building, Suite 355		
	San Francisco, California 94111		
10	Telephone: (415) 788-8200 Facsimile: (415) 788-8208		
11	Email: staylor@tcolaw.com		
	Email: jpatchen@tcolaw.com		
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Sharp Electronics Corporation	1.	
13			
14			
14			
15	INITED STATES D	ISTRICT COLIDT	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
17	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
18		G N 05 05044 (GG)	
19	In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Case No. 07-cv-05944 (SC)	
19		MDL No. 1917	
20	This Document Relates To:	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING	
21	Characteristic Comment of all at the last of all	SHARP ELECTRONICS	
	Sharp Electronics Corp., et al. v. Hitachi Ltd., et al., Case No. C 13-1173 (SC)	CORPORATION AND SHARP	
22		ELECTRONICS MANUFACTURING COMPANY OF	
23		AMERICA, INC.'S MOTION FOR	
24		LEAVE TO FILE RESPONSE TO THE TOSHIBA DEFENDANTS'	
24		SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY BRIEF	
25			
26			
20			
27			
28			

Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 2352-1 Filed 01/24/14 Page 2 of 2

1	Upon consideration of Sharp Electronics Corporation and Sharp Electronics		
2	Manufacturing Company of America, Inc.'s Motion for Leave to File Response to the Toshiba		
3	Defendants' Supplemental Reply in Support of Their Amended Motion to Dismiss Sharp's Complaint, it		
4	is hereby:		
5	ORDERED that Sharp's Motion for Leave to File Response to Supplemental Reply is		
6	hereby GRANTED; and it is further		
7	ORDERED that Sharp has leave to file its Response to the Toshiba Defendants'		
8	Supplemental Reply in Support of Their Motion to Dismiss Sharp's Complaint.		
9			
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
11			
12			
13	DATED:, 2014		
14	HON. SAMUEL CONTI UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	-2-		
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING SHARP'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE RESPONSE TO SUPPLEMENTAL		
	REPLY Case No. 07-cv-5944, MDL No. 1917; Case No. 13-cv-1173		